## Appendices

## Appendix 1 – Savings Schedule (No change)

Service	Description of Saving	Type of RAG Impact			Indicative			
		Saving		2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	Total	FTE Impact
				£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
Provider S	ervices							
Provider Services	Day Services - following the delivery of a day services review and associated findings, expand use of external capacity/focus on complex needs. This is intended to maximise the cost effectiveness of in-house day services by repositioning them to support citizens with more complex needs. This would mean reviewing people in expensive external day placements and moving them to in-house provision as well as reviewing people with low to moderate support needs in in-house provision and finding alternative provision in the community or with lower cost external providers (including VCSE as providers under contract).	Service Redesign	Limited impact on outcomes, consolidating model of care. Potential family dissatisfaction and complaints, engagement programme will be required This is part of a broader strategy to re-position day services as a whole and work by the transitions team and others to promote a range of options for people, including employment, facilitated by our strengthened supported employment offer	500	100		600	TBC
Provider Services	Short Breaks - this programme is intended to refocus in-house short breaks service through a new integrated MLCO offer to support emergency placements and supporting crisis situations. The financial saving will be within long term care	Service Redesign	Risk of not having an offer in place to support in crisis situations. Significant challenge to make operational	250	100		350	твс

Service	Description of Saving	Type of	RAG Impact		Amount of Saving			Indicative
		Saving		2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	Total	FTE Impact
				£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
Provider Services	<ul> <li>Transport review - The savings target represents a substantial redesign of the service model and charging basis.</li> <li>Delivery will be through a combination of significant increase in nominal charge, review of access criteria, exploration of alternative transport models and encouraging independence through travel training initiatives</li> </ul>	Service Redesign	Exploration of alternative transport models and options for access to day services. Potential for family dissatisfaction and complaints, engagement programme will be required and aligned to the other proposals surrounding day services.	150			150	TBC
Provider Services	<b>DSAS</b> - Transformation of in house supported accommodation to enable the service to support citizens with the most complex needs. Very significant change programme required with the potential to improve outcomes through more optimal approach to supporting most complex in-house including Transforming Care cohort, citizens placed in high cost packages (including out of area) and including those where joint or health funding arrangements are in place. Significant engagement with families will be required.	Service Redesign	Potential to improve outcomes through more optimal approach to supporting most complex. Repatriation and changing tenancy arrangements including for people currently supported in house where the outcome may be a move to being supported externally will inevitably lead to significant engagement requirements with families. Establishment restructuring and realignment (consolidate establishment to meet future needs). Requires full and comprehensive review of estate to ensure it is fit for purpose from a condition and fabric	750	1,250		2,000	TBC

Service	Description of Saving	Type of RAG Impact Saving		Indicative				
				2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	Total	FTE Impact
				£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
			perspective and associated and capital investment.					
<u>Charges</u>								
Extra care	Implement wellbeing charge This is an additional amount on top of the rent, service charge and any care charges that apply. It is a charge that every resident in the scheme pays, whether they receive care or not. Following introduction, the Service would expand the benefits of paying a Wellbeing Charge, for example, through an annual Wellbeing Check, working with local community health providers77 and public health so that the Charge does have an actual emphasis on Wellbeing. Through benchmarking, the highest rate of weekly wellbeing charges found is £40 per week. The lowest charge is £11 per week. The proposal is for a wellbeing charge of £5-10 per person per week	Income Generation	Impact on most vulnerable during cost of living crisis	50			50	None
Demand Ma	nagement							
Directorate	Smoothing via Adult Social Care Reserve	Efficiency	None		(2,275)		(2,275)	None
Long Term Care	<b>Further demand management</b> – all care groups. This target has been set for 2025/26 and is therefore some time into the future. This is in addition to	Efficiency	Prevent, reduce, delay through Better Outcomes, Better Lives remains the underpinning approach so					

	Saving		1	Amount of Saving				
	Saving	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	Total	FTE		
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	Impact	
£10m identified in 2023/24 for demand management to support the existing budget gap. The target has been set on the basis that the programme has delivered evidenced demand reductions to date and that there will continue to be opportunities to improve outcomes and independence of Manchester citizens and many of the Better Outcomes Better Lives arrangements will be fully embedded by then. There is notably an expectation that TEC and the move to digital will be further advanced and the whole care market will have developed further through the reform agenda. Within the MLCO work will have progressed to optimise care models and this will also be impacting. The Fair Cost of Care programme should enable a sustainable care market and more appetite to work with the Council on developing care models in residential settings and particularly therapeutic interventions. This target will be the subject of more detailed consideration in the 2024/25 budget cycle and is in effect a commitment at this stage		should continue to have a beneficial impact on outcomes but will be challenging in face of national social care context		2,275		2,275	None	

Service	Description of Saving	Type of RAG Impact Saving	RAG Impact		Indicative			
				2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	Total	FTE Impact
				£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	
Learning Disability	Joint funding/CHC and improving joint commissioning. Of utmost importance is the right support from a clinical team having oversight of the citizens wellbeing and review responsibility for the package of care (a rights-based approach). There are a number of citizens within long term placements that are at or near the CHC threshold. The desired outcome includes an enhanced joint funding of packages and much more structured joint commissioning arrangements	Income Generation	Potential for tensions within partnership arrangements if not considered as an integrated opportunity. Improved outcome for citizens in terms of CHC care being non chargeable	500	750		1,250	None
Total				2,200	2,200		4,400	